

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 9001 0630-1864P 10/697,887 10/31/2003 Kwang-Wook Kim EXAMINER 12/09/2005 2292 7590 KRISHNAMURTHY, RAMESH BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747 3753

DATE MAILED: 12/09/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

~	Ž	c
---	---	---

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary	10/697,887	KIM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Ramesh Krishnamurthy	3753	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	•
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 16(a). In no event, however, may a repl rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH cause the application to become ABAN	NTION. y be timely filed S from the mailing date of this communication. IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Med 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ace except for formal matter	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1 - 20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		-
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 October 2003 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj drawing(s) be held in abeyance ion is required if the drawing(s)	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App ity documents have been re ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	olication No eceived in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 03/14/05.		Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 10/697,887

Art Unit: 3753

This office action is responsive to communications filed 03/14/2005.

Claims 1 - 20 are pending.

- 1. The following suggestions are offered to the applicant to place the claims in better form: In claim 6, it is suggested that the following be deleted from the claim: "214" in line 4 and "S1" in line 5.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 6, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by DE 75 13 261.

The document DE '261 discloses a discharging valve assembly for a reciprocating compressor comprising:

A discharge cover (4) coupled with a front frame (1) (it is noted that the limitation "so as to cover a cylinder in which a piston is inserted" is functional in nature and also the limitation is considered to be inherent to the disclosure of DE '261 as it pertains to a reciprocating compressor);

A discharging valve (2) is inserted in the discharging cover; An unbalancedly and elastically supporting means comprising a spring (3) that is supported on one side by a sloping surface of a sloping inner side surface of the discharging cover and its other side being connected to the discharging valve (2). The angle of the sloping surface α is seen (Fig. 1) to be greater than four degrees. A side of the spring with greater elastic

Art Unit: 3753

stiffness is positioned at a sloping surface side (near (5) in Fig. 1) having the nearest distance to the contact surface of the cylinder (near (1)) and the side of the spring with lesser elastic stiffness is positioned at a sloping surface having the furthest distance from the contact surface of the cylinder.

Page 3

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 4. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of 5. the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).
- Claims 11 16, 19 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being 6. unpatentable over DE 75 13 261.

Regarding claim 12, it is noted that providing a sloping surface on the discharging valve as opposed to having it on the cover, is a mere reversal of parts and the courts have generally held that reversal of parts is an expedient that is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. In re Gazda, 219 F.2d 449, 104 USPQ 400 (CCPA 1955). In the instant case, such a reversal would not change the operation of the DE '261 device since the spring force on the valve member (2) would still remain unbalanced as before.

Regarding claims 11 and 20, it is noted that the specific choice of a conical spring is a design expedient over those features disclosed in the DE '261 document in that it neither solves any stated problem nor provided any new and/or unexpected result.

7. Claims 7 and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 75 13 261 as applied to claims 1 – 6, 8 and 10 above, and further in view of Lee et al. (US 2002/0150488A1).

The document DE '261 discloses the claimed invention with the exception of explicitly disclosing a rotation prevention protrusion disposed on the sloping surface.

Lee et al. discloses (Figs, 11 A, B for example) a protrusion disposed on the inner surface of the cover for the purpose of securing the spring so as to prevent rotation.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided in DE '261 a protrusion disposed on the inner surface of the cover for the purpose of securing the spring so as to prevent rotation as evident from Lee et al..

8. Claims 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over DE 75 13 261 as applied to claims 1 – 6, 8 and 10 above, and further in view of the Applicant Admitted Prior art (APA).

The document DE '261 discloses the claimed invention with the exception of explicitly disclosing a discharging hole to be formed on one side of the discharging cover.

In document DE '261 the discharging hole is disposed on the sloping surface. In APA as in Figs. 1-4 of the instant disclosure, the discharging hole is located on one side of the discharging cover. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided in DE '261 a discharging hole on side of the cover for the purpose of achieving a desired flow therethrough as evident from APA (Figs. 1-4 of the instant disclosure).

9. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ramesh Krishnamurthy whose telephone number is (571) 272 – 4914. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday from 10:00 AM to 6:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stephen L. Blau, can be reached on (571) 272 - 4406. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273 - 8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Ramesh Krishnamurthy, Ph.D., PE

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3753